

Markov subshifts and partial representation of $\mathbb{F}_n$

Danilo Royer

1st February 2008

Abstract

In this paper we fix a set Λ^* of positive elements of the free group $\mathbb{F}_n$ (e.g. the set of finite words occurring in a Markov subshift) as well as n partial isometries on a Hilbert space H . Based on these we define a map $S : \mathbb{F}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$ which we prove to be a partial representation of $\mathbb{F}_n$ on H under certain conditions studied by Matsumoto.

Introduction

Considering a Markov subshift on an alphabet $\{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$, R. Exel proved in [3] that n partial isometries on a Hilbert space H , satisfying the corresponding Cuntz–Krieger relations, give rise to a partial representation of the free group $\mathbb{F}_n$ on H , that is, a map $S : \mathbb{F}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$, satisfying $S(t^{-1}) = S(t)^*$ and $S(tr)S(r^{-1}) = S(t)S(r)S(r^{-1})$ for all r, t in $\mathbb{F}_n$.

In this work we fix a set Λ^* of positive elements of $\mathbb{F}_n$ which, among other requirements is assumed to be closed under sub-words, and we take a set $\{S_1, \dots, S_n\}$ of partial isometries on H . We define a map $S : \mathbb{F}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$ by $S(r_1 \dots r_k) = S(r_1) \dots S(r_k)$, where $S(r_i) = S_j$ if $r_i = g_j$, $S(r_i) = S_j^*$ if $r_i = g_j^{-1}$ and $r = r_1 \dots r_k$ is in reduced form.

Under certain conditions studied by Matsumoto in [1], we prove that the map S is a partial representation of $\mathbb{F}_n$ on H . Since Matsumoto’s conditions generalize the Cuntz–Krieger relations our result is a generalization of Exel’s result mentioned above.

This paper is based on the author’s Master’s thesis at the Federal University of Santa Catarina under the supervision of Ruy Exel.

Partial Representations of $\mathbb{F}_n$

Let us consider the Free Group $\mathbb{F}_n$ generated by a set of n elements, $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$. The elements of $\mathbb{F}_n$ can be written in the form $r = r_1 \dots r_k$ where each $r_i \in G \cup G^{-1}$. We say that r is in reduced form if $r_i \neq r_{i+1}^{-1}$, for each i . Two elements $r = r_1 \dots r_k$ and $s = s_1 \dots s_l$ of $\mathbb{F}_n$, in reduced form, are equal if and only if $l = k$ and $r_i = s_i$, for all i . In this way, each element, in reduced form, have unique representation and we define its length by the number of components, that is, if $r = r_1 \dots r_k$ is in reduced form then r have length k , which will be denoted by $|r| = k$. A element $r = r_1 \dots r_k$ of $\mathbb{F}_n$, in reduced form, is called a positive element

if $r_i \in G$, for all i , and the set of all positive elements will be called P . We consider e a element of P .

Let us fix a set $\Lambda^* \subseteq P$ with the following properties:

- $e \in \Lambda^*$,
- $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_n\} \subseteq \Lambda^*$,
- Λ^* is closed under sub-words, that is, if $\nu = \nu_1 \dots \nu_k \in \Lambda^*$ then each element of the form $\nu_i \dots \nu_{i+j}$ with $i = 1 \dots k, j \in \mathbb{N}$ is a element of Λ^* .

For all $\mu \in \Lambda^*$ we define the following sets:

$$L_\mu^1 = \{g_j \in G | j = 1, \dots, n, \quad \mu g_j \notin \Lambda^*\},$$

$$L_\mu^k = \{\nu = \nu_1 \dots \nu_k \in \Lambda^* | \mu \nu_1 \dots \nu_{k-1} \in \Lambda^*, \mu \nu \notin \Lambda^*\}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Lemma 1 *Let $\mu \in \Lambda^*$ and $r, s \in P$. If $vr = v's$, where $v \in L_\mu^k$ and $v' \in L_\mu^l$, then $v = v'$.*

Proof: Suppose by contradiction that $v \neq v'$. Then $|v| \neq |v'|$, because otherwise, $v_1 \dots v_k r = v'_1 \dots v'_l s$, from where it follows that $v = v'$. Without loss of generality suppose $|v| > l$, write $v = v_1 \dots v_l \dots v_k$ and $v' = v'_1 \dots v'_l$. Since $v_1 \dots v_l \dots v_k r = vr = v's = v'_1 \dots v'_l s$, then $v_1 \dots v_l = v'_1 \dots v'_l$, and therefore $v = v' v_{l+1} \dots v_k$. Since $v' \in L_\mu^l$, by definition of L_μ^l , $\mu v' \notin \Lambda^*$, hence $\mu v_1 \dots v_{k-1} = \mu v' v_{l+1} \dots v_{k-1} \notin \Lambda^*$. That is a contradiction, because $v \in L_\mu^k$ and so $v = v'$. □

Let us consider a Hilbert space H and a set of partial isometries $\{S_1, \dots, S_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H)$. Recall that S_i is a partial isometry if $S_i S_i^* S_i = S_i$. Define a map

$$S : \mathbb{F}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$$

$$r = r_1 \dots r_k \mapsto S(r_1) \dots S(r_k)$$

where r is in reduced form, $S(r_i) = S_j$ if $r_i = g_j$ and $S(r_i) = S_j^*$ if $r_i = g_j^{-1}$. By convention, $S(e) = I$, where I is the identity operator on H . In this way, for all $r \in \mathbb{F}_n$ we have an operator $S(r) \in \mathcal{L}(H)$. This operator will also be called S_r . We will suppose that our set of partial isometries $\{S_1, \dots, S_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H)$ generated a map S which satisfies:

$$(M_1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n S_i S_i^* = I;$$

$$(M_2) \quad \text{For all } \mu \text{ and } \nu \text{ in } \Lambda^* \text{ the operators } S_\mu S_\mu^* \text{ and } S_\nu^* S_\nu \text{ commute;}$$

$$(M_3) \quad I - S_i^* S_i = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu \in L_i^k} S_\nu S_\nu^*, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Note that for all i , $S_i S_i^*$ is idempotent and self-adjoint, and so a projection. By (M_1) , $\sum_{i=1}^n S_i S_i^*$ is a projection and therefore $S_i S_i^*$ and $S_j S_j^*$ are orthogonal, for all $i \neq j$. So

$$S_i^* S_j = (S_i^* S_i S_i^*) (S_j S_j^* S_j) = S_i^* (S_i S_i^* S_j S_j^*) S_j = 0$$

whenever $i \neq j$.

Lemma 2 For all $\mu \in \Lambda^*$, $S_\mu = S_\mu S_\mu^* S_\mu$.

Proof: The proof will be by induction on $|\mu|$. For $|\mu| = 1$, $S_\mu = S_\mu S_\mu^* S_\mu$ by hypothesis. Suppose $S_\mu = S_\mu S_\mu^* S_\mu$ for all $\mu \in \Lambda^*$ with $|\mu| = k$, and consider $\nu \in \Lambda^*$, with $|\nu| = k + 1$. Then $\nu = \alpha g_j$, with $|\alpha| = k$, and

$$S_\nu S_\nu^* S_\nu = S_{\alpha g_j} S_{\alpha g_j}^* S_{\alpha g_j} = S_\alpha S_{g_j} S_{g_j}^* S_\alpha^* S_\alpha S_{g_j} = S_\alpha S_\alpha^* S_\alpha S_{g_j} S_{g_j}^* S_{g_j} = S_\alpha S_{g_j} = S_\nu.$$

□

Lemma 3 Let $\alpha \in P$ and $\nu \in \Lambda^*$.

- a) If $|\alpha| \geq |\nu|$ then $S_\nu S_\nu^* S_\alpha = \begin{cases} S_\alpha & \text{if } \alpha = \nu r \text{ for some } r \in P \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
- b) If $|\alpha| < |\nu|$ then $S_\nu S_\nu^* S_\alpha = \begin{cases} S_\nu S_r^* & \text{if } \nu = \alpha r \text{ for some } r \in P \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Proof:

- a) Supposing that there exists r in P such that $\alpha = \nu r$, we have

$$S_\nu S_\nu^* S_\alpha = S_\nu S_\nu^* S_{\nu r} = S_\nu S_\nu^* S_\nu S_r = S_\nu S_r = S_\alpha.$$

On the other hand, if $\alpha \neq \nu r$ for all $r \in P$, write $\alpha = \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l \dots \alpha_k$, $\nu = \nu_1 \dots \nu_l$ and take the smallest index i such that $\alpha_i \neq \nu_i$. Then we have $\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{i-1} = \nu_1 \dots \nu_{i-1}$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} S_\nu S_\nu^* S_\alpha &= S_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_{i-1} \nu_i \dots \nu_l} S_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_{i-1} \nu_i \dots \nu_l}^* S_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{i-1} \alpha_i \dots \alpha_k} = \\ &= S_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_{i-1}} S_{\nu_i \dots \nu_l} S_{\nu_i \dots \nu_l}^* S_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_{i-1}}^* S_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_{i-1}} S_{\alpha_i \dots \alpha_k} = \\ &= S_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_{i-1}} S_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_{i-1}}^* S_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_{i-1}} S_{\nu_i \dots \nu_l} S_{\nu_i \dots \nu_l}^* S_{\alpha_i \dots \alpha_k} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

because $S_{\nu_i}^* S_{\alpha_i} = 0$.

- b) Suppose $\nu = \alpha r$ for some $r \in P$. Then

$$S_\nu S_\nu^* S_\alpha = S_{\alpha r} S_{\alpha r}^* S_\alpha = S_\alpha S_r S_r^* S_\alpha^* S_\alpha = S_\alpha S_\alpha^* S_\alpha S_r S_r^* = S_\alpha S_r S_r^* = S_{\alpha r} S_r^* = S_\nu S_r^*.$$

If $\nu \neq \alpha r$, for all $r \in P$ as in (a), take the smallest index i such that $\nu_i \neq \alpha_i$. Then $\nu_1 \dots \nu_{i-1} = \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{i-1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} S_\nu S_\nu^* S_\alpha &= S_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_{i-1} \nu_i \dots \nu_k} S_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_{i-1} \nu_i \dots \nu_k}^* S_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{i-1} \alpha_i \dots \alpha_l} = \\ &= S_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_{i-1}} S_{\nu_i \dots \nu_k} S_{\nu_i \dots \nu_k}^* S_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_{i-1}}^* S_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_{i-1}} S_{\alpha_i \dots \alpha_l} = \\ &= S_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_{i-1}} S_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_{i-1}}^* S_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_{i-1}} S_{\nu_i \dots \nu_k} S_{\nu_i \dots \nu_k}^* S_{\alpha_i \dots \alpha_l} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

because $S_{\nu_i}^* S_{\alpha_i} = 0$.

□

Theorem 1 *If $\nu \in P \setminus \Lambda^*$ then $S_\nu = 0$.*

Proof: Write $\nu = g_j \alpha$, and in this way,

$$S_\nu^* S_\nu = S_\alpha^* S_{g_j}^* S_{g_j} S_\alpha = S_\alpha^* S_\alpha - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu \in L_{g_j}^k} S_\alpha^* S_\mu S_\mu^* S_\alpha.$$

We will analyse the summands of $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu \in L_{g_j}^k} S_\alpha^* S_\mu S_\mu^* S_\alpha$ in the following way:

Case 1: $|\mu| > |\alpha|$

By Lemma 3, $S_\mu S_\mu^* S_\alpha \neq 0$ only if $\mu = \alpha r$, for some $r \in P$. We will show that there exists no such r . Suppose $\mu \in L_{g_j}^k$ is such that $\mu = \alpha r$, with $|r| = l$. By definition of $L_{g_j}^k$, $g_j \mu_1 \dots \mu_{k-1} \in \Lambda^*$, but $g_j \mu_1 \dots \mu_{k-1} = g_j \alpha r_1 \dots r_{l-1}$, and so $\nu = g_j \alpha \in \Lambda^*$. This is a contradiction, because we are supposing $\nu \notin \Lambda^*$. Therefore $\mu \neq \alpha r$, for all $r \in P$, and so, by Lemma 3, $S_\alpha^* S_\mu S_\mu^* S_\alpha = S_\alpha^* (S_\mu S_\mu^* S_\alpha) = 0$ for all μ with $|\mu| > |\alpha|$.

Case 2: $|\mu| \leq |\alpha|$

By Lemma 3, $S_\mu S_\mu^* S_\alpha \neq 0$, only if $\alpha = \mu r$, for some $r \in P$, and by Lemma 1 if there exists such $\mu \in \cup L_{g_j}^k$, it is unique. In this case we have by Lemma 3 that $S_\alpha^* S_\mu S_\mu^* S_\alpha = S_\alpha^* (S_\mu S_\mu^* S_\alpha) = S_\alpha^* S_\alpha$.

In this way, $S_\nu^* S_\nu = z S_\alpha^* S_\alpha$, where $z = 0$ if there exists $\mu \in \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} L_{g_j}^k$ such that $\alpha = \mu r$

for some $r \in P$, and $z = 1$ otherwise.

Write $\nu = \nu_1 \dots \nu_k$ and take the smallest index i such that $\nu_{i+1} \dots \nu_k \in \Lambda^*$. So,

$$S_\nu^* S_\nu = z_1 S_{\nu_2 \dots \nu_k}^* S_{\nu_2 \dots \nu_k} = \dots = z_1 \dots z_{i-1} S_{\nu_i \dots \nu_k}^* S_{\nu_i \dots \nu_k},$$

where z_i are 0 or 1. We will show that $S_{\nu_i \dots \nu_k}^* S_{\nu_i \dots \nu_k} = 0$. Since $\nu_i \dots \nu_k \notin \Lambda^*$, by case 1 and case 2 above, we need to show that there exist some $\mu \in \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} L_{\nu_i}^k$ such

that $\nu_{i+1} \dots \nu_k = \mu r$ for some $r \in P$.

Take the index j such that $\nu_i \dots \nu_j \in \Lambda^*$ but $\nu_i \dots \nu_j \nu_{j+1} \notin \Lambda^*$. Such index exists because $\nu_i \in \Lambda^*$ and $\nu_i \dots \nu_k \notin \Lambda^*$. Moreover, $\nu_{i+1} \dots \nu_{j+1} \in \Lambda^*$ because $\nu_{i+1} \dots \nu_k \in \Lambda^*$, and so, $\nu_{i+1} \dots \nu_{j+1} \in L_{\nu_i}^{j+1-i}$. Thereby $S_{\nu_i \dots \nu_k}^* S_{\nu_i \dots \nu_k} = 0$, and so $S_\nu^* S_\nu = 0$, in other words, $S_\nu = 0$. □

Observe that if $r = r_1 \dots r_k$ is in reduced form, with $r_i \in G^{-1}$ and $r_{i+1} \in G$, then $S(r_i r_{i+1}) = S(r_i) S(r_{i+1}) = 0$, from where $S(r) = 0$. Also, if $r = r_1 \dots r_k$ and $s = s_1 \dots s_l$ are elements of $\mathbb{F}_n$ in reduced form and $r_k \neq s_1^{-1}$, then the reduced form of rs is $r_1 \dots r_k s_1 \dots s_l$, and so $S(rs) = S(r) S(s)$ by definition of S .

Definition 1 *Given a group $\mathbb{G}$ and a Hilbert space H , a map $S : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$ is a partial representation of the group $\mathbb{G}$ on H if:*

$P_1)$ $S(e) = I$, where e is the neutral element of $\mathbb{G}$ and I is the identity operator on H ,

$P_2)$ $S(t^{-1}) = S(t)^*$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{G}$,

$P_3)$ $S(t)S(r)S(r^{-1}) = S(tr)S(r^{-1})$, $\forall t, r \in \mathbb{G}$,

Theorem 2 *If the map $S : \mathbb{F}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$ defined before satisfies M_1, M_2 and M_3 , then S is a partial representation of the group $\mathbb{F}_n$ on H .*

Proof: Property P_1 is trivial. The proof of P_2 will be by induction on $|t|$. If $|t| = 1$, the equality between $S(t^{-1})$ and $S(t)^*$ is obviously true. Suppose $S(t^{-1}) = S(t)^*$ for all $t \in \mathbb{F}_n$ with $|t| = k$. Take $t \in \mathbb{F}_n$ with $|t| = k + 1$ and write $t = \tilde{t}x$, where $|\tilde{t}| = k$. Using the induction hypothesis and the fact that the equality is true for $|x| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} S(t^{-1}) &= S((\tilde{t}x)^{-1}) = S(x^{-1}\tilde{t}^{-1}) = S(x^{-1})S(\tilde{t}^{-1}) = \\ &= S(x)^*S(\tilde{t})^* = (S(\tilde{t})S(x))^* = S(\tilde{t}x)^* = S(t)^*. \end{aligned}$$

To verify property P_3 we will prove the following :

Claim: For all r in $\mathbb{F}_n$ and t in $G \cup G^{-1}$, $E(r) = S(r)S(r)^$ and $E(t) = S(t)S(t)^*$ commute.*

If $r = r_1 \dots r_k$ where r is in its reduced form, with $r_i \in G^{-1}$ and $r_{i+1} \in G$ for some i , then $S(r) = 0$ and so the claim is trivial. Therefore let $r = \alpha\beta^{-1}$, where r is in reduced form and $\alpha, \beta \in P$. If $\beta \notin \Lambda^*$, by Theorem 1, $S_\beta = 0$ from where we again see that the claim follows. Thus let us consider $\beta \in \Lambda^*$.

Case 1: If $t \in G$, that is, $t = g_j$, for some j .

a) $|\alpha| \neq 0$.

Write $\alpha = \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l$. If $\alpha_1 \neq g_j$, then $S(g_j)^*S(\alpha) = 0$ and so $E(t)E(r) = 0 = E(r)E(t)$. If $\alpha_1 = g_j$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(\alpha)^*S(g_j)S(g_j)^* &= S(\alpha_2 \dots \alpha_l)^*S(\alpha_1)^*S(g_j)S(g_j)^* = \\ &= S(\alpha_2 \dots \alpha_l)^*S(\alpha_1)^*S(\alpha_1)S(\alpha_1)^* = S(\alpha_2 \dots \alpha_l)^*S(\alpha_1)^* = \\ &= (S(\alpha_1)S(\alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k))^* = S(\alpha)^* \end{aligned}$$

and similarly $S(g_j)S(g_j)^*S(\alpha) = S(\alpha)$. It follows that $E(t)$ and $E(r)$ commute.

b) $|\alpha| = 0$.

We have $r = \beta^{-1}$. Since $\beta \in \Lambda^*$, using M_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} E(r)E(t) &= S(r)S(r)^*S(t)S(t)^* = S(\beta)^*S(\beta)S(g_j)S(g_j)^* = \\ &= S(g_j)S(g_j)^*S(\beta)^*S(\beta) = S(t)S(t)^*S(r)S(r)^* = E(t)E(r). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: If $t \in G^{-1}$, namely, $t = g_j^{-1}$, with $g_j \in G$.

Note that

$$E(r)E(t) = E(r)S_t S_t^* = E(r)S_{g_j}^* S_{g_j} = E(r) \left(I - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu \in L_{g_j}^k} S_\mu S_\mu^* \right) =$$

$$= E(r) - E(r) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu \in L_{g_j}^k} S_{\mu} S_{\mu}^* \right)$$

and similarly,

$$E(t)E(r) = S_{g_j}^* S_{g_j} E(r) = E(r) - \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu \in L_{g_j}^k} S_{\mu} S_{\mu}^* \right) E(r).$$

To prove that $E(t)$ and $E(r)$ commute, it is enough to show that

$$E(r)S_{\mu}S_{\mu}^* = S_{\mu}S_{\mu}^*E(r) \quad \forall \mu \in L_{g_j}^k, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

a) $|\alpha| \neq 0$.

i) $|\alpha| \geq |\mu|$.

By Lemma 3, if $\alpha = \mu s$ for some s in P then $S_{\alpha}^* S_{\mu} S_{\mu}^* = S_{\alpha}^*$. Therefore,

$$E(r)S_{\mu}S_{\mu}^* = S_{\alpha}S_{\beta}^*S_{\beta}S_{\alpha}^*S_{\mu}S_{\mu}^* = S_{\alpha}S_{\beta}^*S_{\beta}S_{\alpha}^* = E(r),$$

and similarly $S_{\mu}S_{\mu}^*E(r) = E(r)$, and this proves that $E(r)S_{\mu}S_{\mu}^* = S_{\mu}S_{\mu}^*E(r)$. Also by Lemma 3, if $\alpha \neq \mu s$ for all $s \in P$, then $S_{\alpha}^* S_{\mu} S_{\mu}^* = 0 = S_{\mu}S_{\mu}^*S_{\alpha}$ and also in this case $E(r)$ and $S_{\mu}S_{\mu}^*$ commute.

ii) $|\alpha| < |\mu|$.

By Lemma 3, if $\mu \neq \alpha s \quad \forall s \in P$, then $S_{\alpha}^* S_{\mu} S_{\mu}^* = 0 = S_{\mu}S_{\mu}^*S_{\alpha}$, from where the equality follows. If $\mu = \alpha s$ for some $s \in P$, also by Lemma 3, $S_{\alpha}^* S_{\mu} S_{\mu}^* = S_s S_{\mu}^*$ and $S_{\mu}S_{\mu}^*S_{\alpha} = S_{\mu}S_s^*$, from where

$$E(r)S_{\mu}S_{\mu}^* = S_{\alpha}S_{\beta}^*S_{\beta}S_{\alpha}^*S_{\mu}S_{\mu}^* = S_{\alpha}S_{\beta}^*S_{\beta}S_s S_{\mu}^* = S_{\alpha}S_{\beta}^*S_{\beta}S_s S_s^* S_{\alpha}^*,$$

and

$$S_{\mu}S_{\mu}^*E(r) = S_{\mu}S_{\mu}^*S_{\alpha}S_{\beta}^*S_{\beta}S_{\alpha}^* = S_{\mu}S_s^* S_{\beta}^* S_{\beta} S_{\alpha}^* = S_{\alpha}S_s S_s^* S_{\beta}^* S_{\beta} S_{\alpha}^*.$$

Since $\beta \in \Lambda^*$, by M_2 ,

$$S_s S_s^* S_{\beta}^* S_{\beta} = S_{\beta}^* S_{\beta} S_s S_s^*,$$

and this shows that $E(r)S_{\mu}S_{\mu}^* = S_{\mu}S_{\mu}^*E(r)$.

b) $|\alpha| = 0$

Since $\beta \in \Lambda^*$, the equality between $E(r)S_{\mu}S_{\mu}^*$ and $S_{\mu}S_{\mu}^*E(r)$ follows from M_2 .

This proves our claim. Let us now return to the proof of P_3 , that is,

$$S(t)S(r)S(r^{-1}) = S(tr)S(r^{-1}), \forall t, r \in \mathbb{F}_n.$$

To do this we use induction on $|t| + |r|$. The equality is obvious if $|t| + |r| = 1$. Suppose the equality true for all $t, r \in \mathbb{F}_n$ such that $|t| + |r| < k$. Take $t, r \in \mathbb{F}_n$, with $|t| + |r| = k$, write $t = \tilde{t}x, r = y\tilde{r}$, with $x, y \in G \cup G^{-1}$. If $y \neq x^{-1}$, we have

$S(tr) = S(t)S(r)$, from where $S(tr)S(r^{-1}) = S(t)S(r)S(r^{-1})$. Let us consider the case $x = y^{-1}$.

$$\begin{aligned} S(t)S(r)S(r^{-1}) &= S(\tilde{t}x)S(y\tilde{r})S((y\tilde{r})^{-1}) = \\ &= S(\tilde{t})S(x)S(y)S(\tilde{r})S(\tilde{r}^{-1})S(y^{-1}) = S(\tilde{t})S(x)S(x^{-1})S(\tilde{r})S(\tilde{r}^{-1})S(x). \end{aligned}$$

Using the claim and the fact that $S(x)$ is a partial isometry,

$$\begin{aligned} S(\tilde{t})S(x)S(x^{-1})S(\tilde{r})S(\tilde{r}^{-1})S(x) &= S(\tilde{t})S(\tilde{r})S(\tilde{r}^{-1})S(x)S(x^{-1})S(x) = \\ &= S(\tilde{t})S(\tilde{r})S(\tilde{r}^{-1})S(x) \end{aligned}$$

and by the induction hypothesis,

$$S(\tilde{t})S(\tilde{r})S(\tilde{r}^{-1})S(x) = S(\tilde{t}\tilde{r})S(\tilde{r}^{-1})S(x).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} S(tr)S(r^{-1}) &= S(\tilde{t}xy\tilde{r})S((y\tilde{r})^{-1}) = \\ &= S(\tilde{t}\tilde{r})S(\tilde{r}^{-1}y^{-1}) = S(\tilde{t}\tilde{r})S(\tilde{r}^{-1})S(x). \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of P_3 , and also of the theorem .

□

References

- [1] K. Matsumoto. *Relations Among Generators of C^* -Algebras Associated with Subshifts*. International Journal of Mathematics. (1999), 385-405.
- [2] M. Hall, Jr. *Theory of Groups*. Chelsea Publishing Company-New York, 1973.
- [3] R. Exel. *Amenability for Fell bundles*. Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik 492 (1997) 41-73.

Instituto de Matemática, Estatística e Computação Científica
 UNICAMP-IMECC
 Caixa Postal: 6065
 13083-970 Campinas, SP, Brasil.
 E-mail adress: royer@mtm.ufsc.br